

EFFECT OF VERMICOMPOSTING BY DETERMINING THE PLANT GROWTH IN THE MIXTURE OF POLLUTED- VERMICOMPOST SOIL

G. Priya^{1*} , M. Ranjith kumar ¹ and Vimalabangarusamy²

¹ PG and Research Department of Biochemistry, Mohamed Sathak College of Arts and Science College, Chennai, Tamilnadu, 600119

¹ PG and Research Department of Biotechnology , Mohamed Sathak College of Arts and Science College, Chennai, Tamilnadu .

² PG and Research Department of Botany, Queen Mary's College of Arts and Science , Chennai, Tamilnadu.

***Corresponding author**

Priya .G

PG and Research Department of Biochemistry,
Mohamed Sathak College of Arts and Science College,
Sholinganallur, Chennai, Tamilnadu, 600119

ABSTRACT

The tremendous increase in population, urbanization, industrialization and agricultural production results in accumulation quantities of solid wastes. This has created serious problem in the environment. In order to dispose this waste safely it should be converted effectively. This is achieved by bio-composting and vermicomposting of farm, urban and agro-industrial waste. It is being increasing realized that composting is an environment friendly process, convert wide variety of wastes into valuable agricultural inputs. Compost is excellent source of humus and plant nutrients, on application of which improve soil biophysical properties and organic matter status of the soil. This present study focused on to promote soil health and to obtain plant growth in the mixture of polluted-vermicompost soil with the use of organic amendments instead offertilizes .Collection of polluted soil from the place where the waste of industries gets deposited, vengadamangalam, chennai,Tamilnadu. The experimental plant material *Trigonella foenum-graecum* called Fenugreek selected for the study, seed samples were procured from

karunya nursery, panaiyur, chennai to raise plants for the experiments. This is to show how plant growth occurs in vermicompost-polluted soil with the elimination of heavy metals in the polluted soil by earthworms.

Key words: Vermicomposting, *Eisenia fetida*, polluted soil, Earthworm, *Trigonella foenum-graecum*,

Introduction

Intensive uses of agrochemicals in conventional cropping systems have caused irreversible effects on soil and water ecosystems i.e., pollution of surface and fresh water resources, and endangered food safety (AwasthiaK et al.,2020). Inefficient organic waste management practices, i.e., burning and land filling, have also contributed adverse environmental problems. Since 1960s, increased social consciousness has urged humanity to develop viable and eco-friendly sustainable management methods for both agronomic production and management of biodegradable organic wastes (ChoW. et al.,2017). In this respect, vermiculture and culturing/utilization of earthworms have introduced a wide variety of efficacious environmentally safe low-input processes manageable at different scales for a variety of products (SharmaK et al., 2019). Vermicomposting provides biological conversion of biodegradable organic wastes into economically high-valuable products (MupambwaH.A et al., 2019).

Vermicomposting has been extensively utilized both in industrialized and in less industrialized countries during the last 40 years (Tondoh, K et al., 2019). *Eisenia fetida*, is a species of earthworm adapted to decaying organic material. These worms thrive in rotting vegetation, compost, and manure. *E. fetida* worms are used for vermicomposting of both domestic and industrial organic waste. Organic fertilizers are used to improve soil quality and tilts, and to provide nutrients for plant growth (Yadav Y et al.,2019). They provide nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium, as well as other elements essential for plant development and overall good health. NPK is short for Nitrogen (N), Phosphorus (P) and Potassium (K), which are the three major elements in this kind of fertilizer (AbakumorE.V et al., 2018). They are essential for plant growth and increase the fertility of the soil lacking these macronutrients. Therefore, the objective of this research is to identify the effect of vermicomposting by determining the plant growth in the mixture of polluted- vermicompost soil

Materials and Methods:

Three types of soil samples were collected namely polluted soil, Normal soil, vermicompost soil. Fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum graecum*) is an annual plant in the family Fabaceae. Fenugreek is used as a herb fresh spice, and vegetable, sprouts and microgreens. The plant growth parameters of this plant have been studied using vermicompost in comparison with the different types of soil. Vermicompost was prepared in pits with suitable dimensions. The pit was 2 m in length and 1 m in width and depth. Base of the pit was filled with layer of broken bricks, followed by a layer of sand to restrict the earthworm's movement towards the soil. 15 cm of the pit was then filled with loamy soil or garden soil and small lumps of fresh cattle dung were sprinkled at random. This acts as an active growing medium for earthworms. About 100–500 earthworms were introduced in the vermibed. 10 cm thick layer of straw, leaf litter and various farm residues were placed above it. Slurry of Cow dung was sprinkled. (Fig 1). The same set of layers was continued till a height of 1 m and sprinkled with water to retain in the moisture content. Harvesting was done on 45th day, and the worms were separated from the vermicast. The young worms and cocoons were separated from the soil using 3 mm sieves. The vermicompost contains macro and micronutrients. (Fig 2)

Measurements of plant growth parameters

Length of shoot and inter node (cm) was measured with a measuring tape and the data were recorded. The number of leaves and branches was counted manually.

Statistical analysis

Data on morphological parameters were subjected to statistical analyses. All data were given as mean and standard error. The differences between the treatment groups and controls were statistically analyzed. The level of significance was set at $P < 0.05$.

Fig 1: BEFORE SOWING SEEDS– SOIL SETUP



Fig 2: FENUGREEK SEEDS WERE SOWED IN ALL THE FOUR TYPES OF SOIL AT THE SAME TIME.



RESULTS

The morphological parameters like shoot length, inter-modal length, number of leaves and number of branches was recorded at an interval of 1 week (7 days) for 5 weeks in control and experimental groups.

Length of shoot

Table 1 shows there is a significant difference in shoot length between control and treated plants. Length of the shoot at the beginning of first week, i.e., at the time of treatment was 8.5 cm. A considerable increase in shoot length was recorded in treated plants; when compared to control plants length of the shoot of plants treated with vermicompost mixed with polluted soil (23.15 cm), vermicompost soil (21.14 cm), polluted soil (18.12 cm) was significantly higher than in control (10.67) plants.

Length of internode

Table 2 explain average intermodal length at the time of treatment was 0.1 cm. After the treatment, significant increase in the intermodal length of the treated groups was observed compared to control plants. Maximum intermodal length was observed in vermicompost mixed with polluted soil treatments (2.97 cm) followed by vermicompost soil (2.27 cm), polluted soil (1.87 cm) treated plants than in control plants (1.66 cm) at the end of fifth week.

Number of leaves

Table 3 explain at the zero hours average number of leaves was 2.0 vermicompost mixed with polluted soil treated plants, showed maximum number of leaves (27.0) and polluted soil, vermicompost soil treated plant the numbers were 15.8 and 15.5 respectively at the end of fifth week of treatment. The minimum number of leaves was recorded in control (13.3) plants.

Number of branches

Table 4 demonstrate during the experimental period; the number of branches was increased in all the treated plants when compared to the control plants at the end of fifth week. The maximum number of branches was recorded in vermicompost mixed with polluted soil (25.30), vermicompost soil (19.8) and polluted soil (19.0). The number of branches was less significant in the control plants.

Table 1. Effect of soil samples on the shoot length (cm) of *Trigonella foenum-graecum*

Treatment	I week	II week	III week	IV week	V week
Normal soil (Control)	8.09 ^{ab} ± 0.38 (+80.9)	8.86 ^{ab} ± 0.53 (+88.5)	9.13 ^{ab} ± 0.34 (+ 91.3)	10.32 ^{ab} ± 0.20 (+ 103.2)	210.67 ^{ab} ± 0.24 (+ 106.7)
Polluted + vermicompost soil	10.45 ^{ab} ± 0.39 (+ 104.5)	13.83 ^{ab} ± 0.54 (+ 138.2)	15.87 ^{ab} ± 0.50 (+ 158.7)	17.14 ^{ab} ± 0.79 (+ 171.4)	23.15 ^{ab} ± 0.79 (+ 221.4)
Vermicompost soil	10.05 ^{ab} ± 0.29 (+ 100.5)	12.32 ^{ab} ± 0.34 (+ 123.2)	15.27 ^{ab} ± 0.50 (+ 154.7)	16.74 ^{ab} ± 0.79 (+ 167.4)	21.14 ^{ab} ± 0.56 (+ 191.4)
Polluted soil	10.00 ^{ab} ± 0.36 (+ 100.0)	12.02 ^{ab} ± 0.44 (+ 120.2)	14.68 ^{ab} ± 0.50 (+ 146.7)	16.14 ^{ab} ± 0.79 (+ 161.4)	19.12 ^{ab} ± 0.63 (+ 181.4)

Values are mean ± S.E of 10 individual observations. Values in parentheses are percent change over control. Degrees of freedom $F \leq 0.05$

^aRepresents significance of variance between periods

^bRepresents significance of variance between treatments

Table 2 Effect of soil samples on internodal length (cm) of *Trigonella foenum-graecum*

Treatment	Iweek	IIweek	IIIweek	IVweek	Vweek
Normalsoil (Control)	1.30 ^{ab} ± 0.13 (+ 13.0)	1.37 ^{ab} ± 0.1 (+ 13.7)	1.49 ^{ab} ± 0.19 (+ 14.9)	1.55 ^{ab} ± 0.13 (+ 15.5)	1.66 ^{ab} ± 0.06 (+16.6)
Polluted + vermicompost soil	1.28 ^{ab} ± 0.13 (+ 12.7)	1.47 ^{ab} ± 0.09 (+ 14.7))	1.6 ^{ab} ± 0.06 (+ 17.0)	1.85 ^{ab} ± 0.05 (+ 18.5)	2.97 ^{ab} ± 0.15 (+29.7)
Vermicompostsoil	1.30 ^{ab} ± 0.13 (+ 13.0)	1.39 ^{ab} ± 0.1 (+ 13.9)	1.49 ^{ab} ± 0.19 (+ 14.9)	1.55 ^{ab} ± 0.13 (+ 15.5)	2.27 ^{ab} ± 0.05 (+21.7)
Polluted soil	1.27 ^{ab} ± 0.13 (+ 12.7)	1.5 ^{ab} ± 0.07 (+14.0)	1.5 ^{ab} ± 0.06 (+ 15.0))	1.64 ^{ab} ± 0.05 (+ 16.5	1.87 ^{ab} ± 0.11 (+17.7)

Values are mean ± S.E of 10 individual observations. Values in parentheses are percent change over control. Degrees of freedom $F \leq 0.05$

^aRepresents significance of variance between periods

^bRepresents significance of variance between treatments

Table 3 Effect of soil samples on the number of leaves (*n*) of *Trigonella foenum-graecum*

Treatment	Iweek	IIweek	IIIweek	IVweek	Vweek
Normalsoil (Control)	7.9 ^{ab} ± 0.7 (+79.0)	10.0 ^{ab} ± 0.71 (+ 100.0)	10.7 ^{ab} ± 0.61 (+ 107.0)	12.1 ^{ab} ± 0.78 (+ 121.0)	13.3 ^{ab} ± 0.59 (+133.0)
Polluted + vermicompost soil	8.3 ^{ab} ± 0.6 (+83.0)	13.0 ^{ab} ± 0.89 (+ 130.0)	16.7 ^{ab} ± 0.96 (+ 167.0)	17.9 ^{ab} ± 0.97 (+ 179.0))	27.0 ^{ab} ± 0.98 (+250.0)
Vermicompostsoil	6.8 ^{ab} ± 0.0 (+68.0)	7.2 ^{ab} ± 0.39 (+73.0)	9.3 ^{ab} ± 0.42 (+ 94.0)	12.8 ^{ab} ± 0.44 (+ 128.0)	15.8 ^{ab} ± 0.34 (+148.0)
Polluted soil	7.2 ^{ab} ± 0.71 (+ 72.0)	11.1 ^{ab} ± 0.47 (+ 111.0)	12.2 ^{ab} ± 0.41 (+ 122.0)	14.6 ^{ab} ± 0.76 (+ 136.0)	15.5 ^{ab} ± 0.80 (+145.0)

Values are mean ± S.E of 10 individual observations. Values in parentheses are percent change over control. Degrees of freedom $F \leq 0.05$

^aRepresents significance of variance between periods

^bRepresents significance of variance between treatments

Table 4 Effect of soil samples on the number of branches (*n*) of *Trigonella foenum-graecum*

Treatment	Iweek	IIweek	IIIweek	IVweek	Vweek
Normalsoil (Control)	6.9 ^{ab} ± 0.27(+69.0)	7.7 ^{ab} ± 0.35(+77.0)	9.6 ^{ab} ± 0.30(+ 96.0)	11.3 ^{ab} ± 0.63 (+ 113.0)	12.3 ^{ab} ± 0.55 (+123.0)
Polluted + vermicompost soil	8.2 ^{ab} ± 0.61 (+ 82.0)	12.8 ^{ab} ± 0.99 (+ 128.0)	15.8 ^{ab} ± 0.99 (+ 158.0)	18.5 ^{ab} ± 0.46 (+ 185.0)	25.0 ^{ab} ± 0.81 (+250.0)
Vermicompostsoil	6.8 ^{ab} ± 0.24 (+ 68.0)	11.2 ^{ab} ± 0.35 (+ 112.0)	13.5 ^{ab} ± 0.52 (+ 145.0)	16.5 ^{ab} ± 0.54 (+ 165.0)	19.8 ^{ab} ± 0.46 (+188.0)
Polluted soil	8.0 ^{ab} ± 0.51 (+ 80.0)	11.5 ^{ab} ± 0.67 (+ 114.0)	15.7 ^{ab} ± 0.73 (+ 157.0)	17.6 ^{ab} ± 0.90 (+ 176.0)	19.2 ^{ab} ± 0.61 (+192.0)

Values are mean ± S.E of 10 individual observations. Values in parentheses are percent change over control. Degrees of freedom $F \leq 0.05$

^aRepresents significance of variance between periods

^bRepresents significance of variance between treatments

DISCUSSION

In this study, the growth of plants was found to be significantly increased in plants treated with vermicompost. Plants treated with vermicompost mixed with polluted soil showed increased shoot length than vermicompost soil and polluted soil treated plants. Studies by Lukash N. Set al., (2019) reported the benefits of vermicompost as bedding media to promote seed germination, seedling growth and productivity of plants.

Organic amended in the form of vermicompost and vermish, when added to soil increase the yield and growth of plants (Sali P.M. et al., 2019). Length of the internode and diameter were increased significantly and maximum in vermicompost-treated plants than in vermicompost soil and polluted soil treated plants (Sali. 2018). The increase in the diameter of internode can be related to increased girth of the plants. The observations in present study are in accordance with previous reports Taheri S et al., (2018). An increase in the yield of certain vegetable crops such as brinjal, okra and tomato have been reported by Liu, W.J (2017), Sujatha K et al., (2020), Vimala bangarusamy. (2020), James S.W et al., (2019), respectively.

In plants treated with earthworm cast, the growth parameters of *Triticum aestivum* such as plant height, number of leaves and tillers, early ear heading, ear head length and dry matter per plant was found to be enhanced than the control plants (Ogunwole, G et al., 2018). Emergence of tomato, cabbage and radish seedling was significant in vermicomposted soil than in thermophilically composted animal waste (Yadav Y and Shukla, V. 2020).

Biofertilizers contain a consortium of nutrients which are needed for plant growth (Srivastava V et al., 2020). The NPK content of vermicompost-amended soil was found to be enhanced when compared to the other amended soil. The soil amended with vermicompost provides the required nutrients, which are not available in chemically treated soil (Piya S et al., 2018). This increased nutrient uptake by plants may have contributed to maximum growth in vermicompost treated when compared to other treatments.

Vermicompost and vermivash treatments improve the micronutrient levels in the soil (Hussain N., D et al., 2018). The carbon content in vermicomposted soil is found to release the nutrients slowly into the soil and thereby aiding the plants to absorb the available nutrients (Frona D et al., 2019).

Remarkable growth obtained in vermicompost-treated plants may be due to favorable and optimum temperature; moisture and a balance between organic and inorganic nutrients in the vermicompost have significantly aided in increased growth of plants (Esmaeili, M.R et al., 2020). The enhanced growth in these plants may be due to improved soil health and the physiochemical properties of soil were enhanced leading to an increase in both microbial activity and macro and micro nutrients. Vermicompost treatment enhanced the availability of nutrients in the soil (Gupta C. et al., 2018).

Studies by Ravindran B et al., (2019) reported a considerable increase of nitrogen in vermicomposted soil. The improvement of N₂ content in the soil may be due to the nitrogen in the vermicast, which results in nitrogen mineralization aided by microbes in the soil, through the degradation of the earthworm tissues (Mupambwa H.A. 2018). Elimination of pathogens as of wastes like cow manure and sludge of wastewater treatment plant may be applied for soil improvement which is very essential in preventing the spread and transmission of disease (Verma B.C et al., 2020). The luxuriant growth, flowering and yield of the vegetable crops were promoted by the worms and vermicompost. The incidence of 'yellow vein mosaic', 'color rot' and 'powdery mildew' diseases was less in worm and vermicompost-treated plants.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study showed that vermicompost mixed with polluted soil treatment showed great potential to increase the performance, growth of *Trigonella foenum-graecum* plant and improvement of soil quality. The study positively highlights the importance of organic farming; therefore, vermicompost may be put to good use as a natural fertilizer for cereals and vegetable crops for increased production and for sustainable agricultural systems.

REFERENCES

- 1 Abakumor E.V., O.A. Rodina, A.K. Eskov , Humification and humic acid composition of suspended soil in oligotrophous environments in South Vietnam Appl. Environ. Soil Sci., 18 pp. 1-8,(2018)
- 2 Awasthia K., S. Surendra, K.A. Mukesh, L. Tao, Z. Junchao, K. Sunil, Z. Zengqiang (Changes in global trends in food waste composting: research challenges and opportunitiesJ. Bioresource Technol., 257.,(2020)
- 3 Cho W., B. Ravindran, Jung Kon Kim, Kwang-Hwa Jeong, Dong Jun Lee, Dong-Yoon Choi , Nutrient status and phytotoxicity analysis of goat manure discharged from farms in South Korea Environ. Technol., 38 (9),(2017)
- 4 Esmaeili, M.R. Khoram, G. Majid, E. Hadi, Pistachio waste management using combined composting vermicomposting technique: physico-chemical changes and worm growth analysis J. Clean Prod., 242 ,(2020)
- 5 Fróna D., J. Szenderák, M. Harangi-Rákos,The challenge of feeding the world Sustainability, pp. 5816,(2019)
- 6 Gupta C., Pr. Dhan, G. Sneh, A.N. , Monica Role of vermicomposting in agricultural waste management Sustain. Green Technol. Environ. Manag pp. 283-295,(2019)
- 7 Hussain N., D. Subhasish, G. Linee, D. Pallabi, S. Banashree, S. Satya , Intensification of vermitechnology for kitchen vegetable waste and paddy straw employing earthworm consortium: assessment of maturity time, microbial community structure, and economic benefitJ. Clean Prod., 182, pp. 414-426,(2018)
- 8 James S.W, M. L. C. Bartz, D. W. G. Stanton, A. C. Conrado, L. Dupont, S. Taheri,E. D. Silva, L. Cunha, G. G, Zootaxa 4545, 124–132,(2019)
- 9 Liu, W.J., Gao, J.P., Wang, G.Y., Zhu, L.S., Wang, J.H., Wang, J. , Oxidating Stress and DNA damage of DEHP to soil earthworms. Acta. Pedol. Sin. 54(5): 1170-1180, (2017)
- 10 Lukashe N.S., H.A. Mupambwa, P.N.S. , Mnkeni Changes in nutrients and bioavailability of potentially toxic metals in mine waste contaminated soils amended with fly ash enriched vermicompost Water Air Soil Pollut., 230 p. 306,(2019)
- 11 Mupambwa H.A., M.K. Hausiku, D.A. Nciizah, E. Dube , The unique Namib desert-coastal region and its opportunities for climate smart agriculture: a review Cogent Food Agric., 5 (1) ,(2019)
- 12 Mupambwa H.A., P.N.S. , Mnkeni Optimizing the vermicomposting of organic

- wastes amended with inorganic materials for production of nutrient-rich organic fertilizers: a review *Environ. Sci. Pollut.*, 25 pp. 10577-10595,. (2018)
- 13 Ogunwole, G., Saliu, J., Ajayi, T. , Impact of Paraquat and Dichlorvos on Behaviour, Weight and Oxidative Stress Parameters in *Eudrilus eugeniae*. *Journal of environment toxicology and pollution mitigation*. 2:18-28,(2018).
 - 14 Piya S., I. Shrestha, P. Dhurva, L. Gauchan, J. Lamichhane (2018) Vermicomposting in Organic Agriculture: Influence on the Soil Nutrients and Plant Growth, 5
 - 15 Ravindran B., R. Sang, C. Soon Woong, N. Dinh, J.C. Woo, B. Balamuralikrishnan, H.A. Mupambwa, V.A. Mariadhas, A. Naif, S. Ganesan , Positive effects of compost and vermicompost produced from tannery waste animal fleshing on the growth and yield of commercial crop-tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.) plant *J. Environ. Manag.*, 234 pp. 154-158,(2019).
 - 16 Sali. (2018). Life Cycle of Vermicomposting Earthworms *Eisenia fetida* and *Eudrilus eugeniae* Under Laboratory Controlled Condition. *Biomedical Journal of Scientific & Technical Research*, 10(5), 8110–8113. <https://doi.org/10.26717/bjstr.2018.10.002015>
 - 17 Sall P.M., H. Antoun, F.P. Chalifour, C.J. Beaucham Potential use of leachate from composted fruit and vegetable waste as fertilizer for corn *Cogent Food Agric.*, 5 (1) ,(2019)
 - 18 Sharma K., V.K. Garg , Recycling of lignocellulosic waste as vermicompost using earthworm *Eisenia fetida* *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, pp. 1-12,(2019)
 - 19 Srivastava V. G.V. Goel, K. Thakur b, R.P. Singh, S. Ademir, S. (2020) Pooja Analysis and advanced characterization of municipal solid waste vermicompost maturity for a green environment *J. Environ. Manag.*, 255
 - 20 Sujatha K, Vimalabangarusamy , ,S.Karpagam ,S.Abeetha and V. Surekha , Macro nutrients status of vermicompost of different waste material processed by *Eisenia fetida*. ISSN No.2231-3990 vol IX Issue IV P. No 3127-3130 ,(2020)
 - 21 Taheri S, S. James, V. Roy, T. Decaëns, B. W. Williams, F. Anderson, R. Rougerie, C.-H. Chang, G. Brown, L. Cunha, D. W. G. Stanton, E. Da Silva, J.-H. Chen, A. R. Lemmon, E. Moriarty Lemmon, M. Bartz, D. Baretta, I. Barois, E. Lapied, M. Coulis, L. Dupont, Complex taxonomy of the ‘brush tail’ peregrine earthworm *Pontoscolex corethrurus*. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 124, 60–70,(2018)
 - 22 Tondoh, K. Dimobe, A. M. Guéi, L. Adahe, Y. Baidai, J. K. N’Dri, G. Forkuor, Soil Health Changes Over a 25-Year Chronosequence From Forest to Plantations in

- Rubber Tree (*Hevea brasiliensis*) Landscapes in Southern Côte d'Ivoire: Do Earthworms Play a Role? *Front. Environ. Sci.* 7, 73 ,(2019)
- 23 Verma B.C., P. Pramanik, B. Debarati , Organic Fertilizers for sustainable soil and environmental management *Nutr. Dyn. Sustain. Crop Prod.* pp. 289-313,(2020)
- 24 Vimalabangarusamy and K.Sujatha, .Effect of vermicompost on growth and quality of plants.ISSN No. 2231-3990 vol IX issue IV . P.No 3132-3135,(2020)
- 25 Yadav, Y. and Shukla, V, Histopathological effects of sublethal dose of Dichlorvos on Nerve cord and Chloragogen tissue of *Eisenia fetida*. *Bull. of Pure and App. Sciences-Zoology.* 39A(1):1-8,(2020).
- 26 Yadav, Y., Deswal, P., Shukla, V., Effect of aluminium phosphide powder residue on earthworm *Eisenia fetida*. *Int. J. of Res. and Anal. Rev.* 6(2): 779-783,(2019)