

## Zero-divisor conjector of $R[G]$ in fields of characteristic zero.

N. K. Agrawal<sup>1</sup>,

1. Head of the University Department of Mathematics, LNMU, Darbhanga

Dhananjay Kumar Mishra<sup>2</sup>, Ram Naresh Das<sup>3</sup>

2. Email: dhananjaykmdbg@gmail.com

### ABSTRACT

As we know that, when there will be a complex group ring  $C[G]$ , then there exists no non-trivial zero-divisor. Similarly, we want to show that there will be no non-trivial zero-divisor, for group ring  $K[G]$  in which  $\text{char } K = 0$ . Therefore, this research paper will present zero-divisor concept of  $R[G]$  in fields of characteristic 0.

**KEYWORDS** : Zero-divisor, complex group-ring  $C[G]$ , non-trivial zero-divisor, **characteristic of field<sup>2</sup>**, field of characteristic zero.

**1. INTRODUCTION** :- Let us suppose that  $R$  be a ring and  $G$  be a group then there will be some conditions, which will declare the non-existence of non-trivial **zero-divisors<sup>1</sup>** in the algebraic structure  $R[G]$ . There are some conditions upon which the algebraic structure  $R[G]$  has no non-trivial zero – divisors.

(i) When group  $G$  will be torsion-free group, then for an arbitrary field on integral domain  $K$ , the algebraic structure  $K[G]$  has no non-trivial zero-divisors.

(ii) Let us suppose that  $G$  be a **torsion-free group<sup>3</sup>** and  $K$  be any field, then the algebraic structure  $K[G]$  will contain no non-trivial central zero-divisor.

(iii) Let us suppose that  $G$  be a unique product group and  $R$  be a **G-graded ring<sup>13</sup>** in which non-zero homogeneous elements are not zero-divisors, the  $R$  has no non-trivial zero-divisors.

(iv) Let us take  $G$  as group, then  $\bar{Q}[G]$  and  $\bar{Z}[G]$  have no non-trivial zero-divisors if only if  $C[G]$  has no non-trivial zero divisors. Here  $\bar{Q}$  is conjugate

of  $Q$  (Rational field) and  $\bar{z}$  is conjugate of  $z$  (integral field) while  $C$  is a complex field.

Now, we will try to show that any field  $K$  of char 0, the algebraic structure  $K[G]$  contains no non-trivial zero-divisors, as it is known that any complex group ring  $C[G]$  contains no non-trivial zero-divisors.

**2. PROPOSITION:-** There exists an embedding of countable field  $F$  into the complex field  $C$ .

**Proof:-** Since  $F$  be a **countable field**<sup>6</sup>. So we can embed the prime subfield of  $F$  into  $C$ . It is clear from **Zorn's Lemma**<sup>4, 5, 7, 8</sup>  $F$  will be a partially ordered set. Let us take subfield  $K$  of  $F$  with **embedding mapping**<sup>11, 12</sup>  $\sigma$ . Thus we can embed  $K$  into  $C$  as  $\sigma(K) \rightarrow C$ . We consider it as **maximal**<sup>10</sup> if  $K \subseteq K'$  then there exists an another embedding  $\sigma'$  such that  $\sigma'(K') \rightarrow C$ . But  $\sigma'$  on  $K$  coincides with  $\sigma$  on  $K$ , so we have  $K = K'$  as well as  $\sigma = \sigma'$ .

Let us suppose that  $K$  be a field which is maximal. If  $K \subset F$ , then we take some  $a \in F/K$ .

Now we can focus upon two cases.

(i) If  $a$  is **algebraic over  $K$** <sup>9</sup> then there exists a minimal polynomial  $p(x) \in K[x]$  such that the degree of  $p(x)$  must be 2 since  $a \in F/K$ . As  $\sigma(K) \rightarrow C$  induces an isomorphism of rings  $K[x] \cong \sigma(K)[x]$  an isomorphism image of  $p(x)$  must be irreducible of degree at least 2. As it is clear that  $\sigma(K) \subset C$  and  $C$  is algebraically closed so we have  $b \in C/\sigma(K)$ . Thus we can get an extension isomorphism  $K(a) \cong \sigma(K)(b)$  by mapping  $a$  to  $b$ . But we obtain contradiction, because we have taken  $K$  as maximal and maximal of pair  $(K, \sigma)$ .

(ii) If  $a$  is **transcendental over  $K$** <sup>9</sup>. As  $K$  is countable, so  $\sigma(K)$  has a countable algebraically closed in  $C$ . Hence there are a number of elements in  $C$  which are transcendental over  $\sigma(K)$ . Now we choose any one such element and extend the isomorphism  $\sigma$  by mapping  $a$  to chosen above element of  $C$ , which implies that it is also transcendental over  $\sigma(K)$ . But such concept contradicts the maximality of  $K$ . Thus we can say that field  $K =$  field  $F$ .

**3. Corollary:-** If a complex group ring  $C[G]$  contains no non-trivial zero-divisor. Then any field  $K$  of characteristic 0, the group ring  $K[G]$  contains no non-trivial zero-divisor.

**Proof:-** Let us suppose that  $a, b \in K[G]$  and we construct a finitely generated subfield  $F$  such that  $F = Q(\text{Supp}(a), \text{Supp}(b))$  of  $K$ . For which  $a, b \in F[G] \subseteq K[G]$ . As from above proposition,  $F$  is countable and we have an embedding mapping  $\phi: F \rightarrow C$ . Further we get a ring embedding of  $\phi(F)[G]$  into  $C[G]$ . As we know that,  $F[G] \cong \phi(F)[G] \subseteq C[G]$ . So, we have  $a \cdot b \neq 0$ . Hence  $K[G]$  contains no non-trivial zero divisor if **Char  $K = 0$** .

**4. CONCLUSIONS:-** This research paper is based on the non-existence of zero-divisor conjector, but not in trivial case. We have found that if there exists a countable field  $F$  of char 0, then  $F[G]$  will possess no non-trivial zero-divisor if only if  $C[G]$  the complex group ring contains no non-trivial zero-divisor. For this purpose we have got an embedding mapping  $\phi$  such that  $\phi: F \rightarrow C$ . Then we induce a ring imbedding of  $\phi(F)[G]$  to  $C[G]$ . But  $F[G] \cong \phi(F)[G]$ . Again  $\phi(F)[G] \subseteq C[G]$  so we get that  $K[G]$  has no non-trivial zero-divisor as it is known that  $F[G] \subseteq K[G]$ .

**Acknowledgement:** This research paper has been prepared by 2<sup>nd</sup> & 3<sup>rd</sup> author during their Ph.D. research work.

## REFERENCES

1. Charles Lanski (2005), Concepts in Abstract Algebra, American Mathematical Soc., p. 342.
2. Neal H. McCoy (1964, 1973) The Theory of Rings, Chelsea Publishing, page 4.
3. Herstein, I.N. (1964), Topics In Algebra, Waltham: Blaisdell Publishing Company, ISBN 978-114541016
4. Campbell, Paul J. (February 1978). "The Origin of 'Zorn's Lemma". *Historia Mathematica* 5(1):77-89. doi:10.1016/0315-0860(78)90136-2 .

5. Bergman, George M (2015). An Invitation to General Algebra and Universal Constructions . Universitext (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Springer-Verlag. P.162. ISBN 978-3-319-11477-4.
6. Dummit, David S.; Foote, Richard M. (2004). Abstract Algebra (3<sup>rd</sup> ed) John Wiley & Sons. ISBN 0-471 -43334-9
7. McCarthy (1991) p.21
8. Kaplansky (1972) pp.74-76.
9. Lang, Serge (2002), Algebra, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 211 (Revised third ed.), New York: Springer – Verlag, ISBN 978-0-387-95385-4, MR 1878556 , Zbl 0984.00001 .
10. Richmond, Bettina; Richmond, Thomas (2009), A Discrete Transition to Advanced Mathematics , American Mathematical Society, p. 181, ISBN 978-0-8218-4789-3.
11. Artin, p. 353
12. Bourbaki, p. 102.
13. H. Matsumura Commutative ring theory . Translated from the Japanese by M.Reid. Second edition. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 8.