

# On the Conformal curvature tensor of Sasakian manifolds admitting Zamkovoy connection

<sup>fi</sup>Ashoke Das, <sup>X</sup>Abhijit Mandal

<sup>fi</sup>Department of Mathematics, Raiganj University, Raiganj, Uttar Dianjpur, Pin-F33fi34, West Bengal, India.

<sup>X</sup>Department of Mathematics, Raiganj Surendranath Mahavidyalaya, Raiganj, Uttar Dianjpur, Pin-F33fi34, West Bengal, India.

## Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to study some properties of Sasakian Manifold admitting Zamkovoy connection  $\mathcal{D}^a$ . Moreover we study Conformal curvature tensor with respect to  $\mathcal{D}^a$  and showed that a conformally flat, Sasakian manifold is an 4-Einstein manifold and a Sasakian manifold is locally conformally  $\mathcal{S}$ -symmetric with respect to Zamkovoy connection  $\mathcal{D}^a$  if and only if it is so with respect to the Levi-Civita connection. Also a sasakian manifold satisfying  $C^a(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{U})R^a = O$  is an 4 Einstein manifold, where  $C^a$  and  $R^a$  are conformal Curvature tensor and Riemannian Curvature tensor with respect to  $D^a$  respectively.

## 1 Introduction

The Weyl conformal curvature tensor  $C$  of rank 4 of an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian Manifold  $M, (n > 3)$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} C(E, Y, Z, W) &= R(E, Y, Z, W) - \frac{1}{n-2} [S(Y, Z)g(E, W) - S(E, Z)g(Y, W) \\ &\quad - g(Y, Z)g(E, W) + g(E, Z)g(Y, W)] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)} [g(Y, Z)g(E, W) - g(E, Z)g(Y, W)] \end{aligned} \quad (fi)$$

<sup>0</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification 20fi0, †3Cfi†, †3C2†.  
Key words and phrases : Sasakian manifolds, Zamkovoy connection, conformal curvature tensor

for all  $E, Y, Z, W \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , set of all vector fields of the manifold  $M$ , where  $C(E, Y, Z, W)$  denotes the conformal curvature tensor of type  $(0, 4)$  and  $R(E, Y, Z, W)$  denotes the Riemannian curvature tensor of type  $(0, 4)$  defined by

$$C(E, Y, Z, W) = g(C(E, Y)Z, W) \tag{2}$$

$$R(E, Y, Z, W) = g(R(E, Y)Z, W), \tag{3}$$

where  $R(E, Y)Z$  is the Riemannian tensor of type  $(0, 3)$ ,  $C(E, Y)Z$  is the conformal curvature tensor of type  $(0, 3)$  and  $S$  denotes the Ricci tensor of type  $(0, 2)$  and  $v$  is the scalar curvature. The curvature tensor defined in equation (fi) is known as conformal curvature tensor.

In 1924, the idea of semi-symmetric linear connection on a differentiable manifold was introduced by A. Friedmann and J. A. Schouten [fi]. In 1940, K. Yano [3] started a systematic study of the semi-symmetric metric connection in a Riemannian manifold and this was further studied by various authors. In Riemannian Geometry N.Tanaka [F] and S.M Webster[6] introduced independently a new connection. As a generalization of the above two connections S.Tanno introduced a new connection called Tanaka-Webster connection. In a paracontact metric manifold S.Zamkovoy[7] defined a canonical connection, known as Zamkovoy connection, which plays the same role of the (generalized) Tanaka-Webster connection[8] in paracontact geometry.

For an  $n$ -dimensional almost contact metric manifold  $M$  equipped with an almost contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  consisting of a  $(\phi, \phi)$  tensor field  $\phi$ , a vector field  $\xi$ , a 1-form  $\eta$  and a Riemannian metric  $g$ , S. Zamkovoy has introduced a new connection known as Zamkovoy connection  $\tilde{\nabla}^a$ , which is related with Levi-civita connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  as

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \tilde{\nabla}_X Y + (\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi)(Y) - \eta(Y) \tilde{\nabla}_X \xi + \eta(X) \tilde{\nabla}_Y \xi, \tag{4}$$

for all  $E, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ .

In a Sasakian manifold  $M$  of dimension  $(n > 2)$ , the conformal curvature tensor  $C^a$  with respect to the Zamkovoy connection  $\tilde{\nabla}^a$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} C^a(E, Y)Z &= R^a(E, Y)Z - \frac{\eta(Y)\eta(Z)}{n-2} [S^a(Y, Z)\xi - S^a(E, Z)Y + g(Y, Z)\phi^a \xi - g(E, Z)\phi^a Y] \\ &\quad + \frac{\eta(X)\eta(Y)}{(n-\eta(X))(n-2)} [g(Y, Z)\xi - g(E, Z)Y] \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where  $R^a$  and  $S^a$  are Riemannian curvature tensor and Ricci tensor with respect to Zamkovoy connection  $\tilde{\nabla}^a$  respectively.

**Definition 1** A  $n$ -dimensional Sasakian manifold  $M$  is said to be  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold if the Ricci tensor of type  $(D, W)$  is of the form

$$S(V, W) = h_1(V, W) + h_2 \eta(V)\eta(W)$$

for all  $U, V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , set of all vector fields of the manifold  $M$  and  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are scalars.

This paper is organised as follows:

After introduction ,a short description of Sasakian manifold is given in section 2. In section 3 ,we have discussed Sasakian manifold admitting Zamkovoy connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  and obtained curvature tensor  $R^a$ ,Ricci tensor  $S^a$  ,Scalar curvature tensor  $v^a$ ,Ricci operator  $\tilde{\rho}^a$ with respect to  $\tilde{\nabla}$  on Sasakian manifold. In section 4 . we deals with conformally flat Sasakian manifold with respect to the connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  and we find that conformally flat Sasakian manifold with respect to  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is an 4 Einstein manifold . In section 5 we showed Sasakian manifold  $M$ , is locally conformally  $\phi$ -symmetric with respect to Zamkovoy connection  $\tilde{\nabla}^a$  if and only if it is so with respect to the Levi-Civita connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$ . Finally in section 6 we discussed a Sasakian manifold satisfying  $C^a(\tilde{\nabla}, U) \circ R^a = 0$  .

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional almost contact metric manifold equipped with an almost contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  consisting of a  $(\phi, \phi)$  tensor field  $\phi$ , a vector field  $\xi$ , a  $\phi$ -form  $\eta$  and a Riemannian metric  $g$ . Then

$$\phi^2 Y = -Y + \eta(Y)\xi, \eta(\xi) = 1, \eta(\phi X) = 0, \phi\xi = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad (7)$$

$$g(X, \phi Y) = -g(\phi X, Y), \eta(Y) = g(Y, \xi), \phi^2 X \in \mathfrak{Z}(M) \quad (8)$$

An almost contact metric manifold  $M$  is said to be

(i) a contact metric manifold if

$$g(X, \phi Y) = d\eta(X, Y), \phi^2 X \in \mathfrak{Z}(M) ; \quad (9)$$

(ii) a K-contact manifold if the vector field  $\xi$  is Killing equivalently

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -\phi X, \quad (10)$$

where  $\tilde{\nabla}$  is Riemannian connection

(iii) a Sasakian manifold if

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X, \phi^2 X \in \mathfrak{Z}(M). \quad (11)$$

A K-contact manifold is a contact metric manifold, while the converse is true if the Lie derivative of  $\phi$  in the characteristic direction  $\xi$  vanishes identically. A Sasakian manifold is always a K-contact manifold. A 3-dimensional K-contact manifold is a Sasakian manifold.

fi. It is well known that a contact metric manifold is Sasakian if and only if

$$R(X, Y)\xi = \eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y, \phi^2 X \in \mathfrak{Z}(M). \quad (12)$$

In a Sasakian manifold equipped with the structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ , the following relations also hold ([9],[4], [fifi]):

$$(\nabla_X \xi) Y = g(E, \phi Y), \tag{fi3}$$

$$R(\xi, E)Y = g(E, Y)\xi - \phi(Y)E, \tag{fi4}$$

$$S(E, \xi) = (n - \phi) \phi(E), \tag{fi5}$$

$$R(E, \xi)Y = \phi(Y)E - g(E, Y)\xi \tag{fi6}$$

$$\phi(\xi) = (n - \phi)\xi \tag{fi7}$$

$$S(E, Y) = g(\phi E, Y) \tag{fi8}$$

$$R(E, Y)Z = g(Y, Z)E - g(E, Z)Y \tag{fi9}$$

$$\sum S(e_s, \phi e_s) = 0, \sum S(e_s, e_s) = \nu(\text{sca1av cuvvatue}) \tag{20}$$

$$\sum g(\phi e_s, \phi e_s) = n - \phi, \sum g(e_s, \phi e_s) = 0 \tag{2fi}$$

Where the summation is taken over s from 1 to n.

### 3 Some properties of Sasakian manifold admitting Eankovoy connection

In Sasakian manifold, using (fi0) and (fi3) equation (fi) reduces to

$$\nabla_X Y = \nabla_X Y + g(E, \phi Y)\xi + \phi(Y)\xi - \phi(E)Y - \phi(Y)E. \tag{22}$$

Putting  $Y = \xi$  in (22)

$$\nabla_X \xi = 0. \tag{23}$$

Now by the help of (22), (fi0) and (fifi) we get the followings

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_X^2 Y \\ &= \nabla_X^a g(Y, \xi) \\ &= \phi(\nabla_X Y) + g(E, \phi Y)\xi, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_X^2 (\phi Y) \\ &= \nabla_X (\phi Y) - g(\phi E, \phi Y)\xi - \phi(E)Y + \phi(E)\phi(Y)\xi, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_X^2 g(Y, \phi Z) \\ &= g(\nabla_X Y, \phi Z) + \phi(E)g(\phi Y, \phi Z) + g(Y, \nabla_X (\phi Z)) \\ & \quad - \phi(E)g(Y, \phi Z) + \phi(E)\phi(Y)\phi(Z). \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Now we know that

$$R^a(E, Y)Z = \nabla_X^a \nabla_Y^a Z - \nabla_Y^a \nabla_X^a Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}^a Z. \tag{2F}$$

By using (22), (23), (24), (2†) and (26) we obtain the followings

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \delta_x^a \delta_y^a Z \\
 = & \delta_x \delta_y Z \ddagger g(E, \$\delta_y Z) \textcircled{A} \ddagger 4(\delta_y Z) \$E \ddagger 4(E) \$\delta_y Z \\
 & \ddagger g(\delta_x Y, \$Z) \textcircled{A} \ddagger 4(E) g(\$Y, \$Z) \textcircled{A} \ddagger g(Y, \delta_x (\$Z)) \textcircled{A} \\
 & -4(E) g(Y, Z) \textcircled{A} \ddagger 4(E) 4(Y) 4(Z) \textcircled{A} - g(Y, \$Z) \$E \\
 & \ddagger g(Y, \$Z) \$E \ddagger 4(\delta_x Z) \$Y \ddagger g(E, \$Z) \$Y \\
 & \ddagger g(Z, \$E) \$Y \ddagger 4(Z) \delta_x (\$Y) - 4(Z) g(\$E, \$Y) \textcircled{A} \\
 & -4(Z) 4(E) Y \ddagger 4(Z) 4(E) 4(Y) \textcircled{A} \ddagger 4(\delta_x Y) \$Z \\
 & \ddagger g(E, \$Y) \$Z - g(Y, \$E) \$Z \ddagger g(Y, \$E) \$Z \\
 & \ddagger 4(Y) \delta_x (\$Z) - 4(Y) g(\$E, \$Z) \textcircled{A} - g(Z, \$E) \$Y \\
 & -4(Y) 4(E) Z \ddagger 4(Y) 4(E) 4(Z) \textcircled{A}
 \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \delta_{[X,Y]}^a Z \\
 = & \delta_{[X,Y]} Z \ddagger g(\delta_x Y, \$Z) \textcircled{A} - g(\delta_y E, \$Z) \textcircled{A} \ddagger 4(Z) \$\delta_x Y \\
 & -4(Z) \$\delta_y E \ddagger 4(\delta_x Y) \$Z - 4(\delta_y E) \$Z.
 \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Interchanging E and Y in (28)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \delta_y^a \delta_x^a Z \\
 = & \delta_y \delta_x Z \ddagger g(Y, \$\delta_x Z) \textcircled{A} \ddagger 4(\delta_x Z) \$Y \ddagger 4(Y) \$\delta_x Z \\
 & \ddagger g(\delta_y E, \$Z) \textcircled{A} \ddagger 4(Y) g(\$E, \$Z) \textcircled{A} \ddagger g(E, \delta_y (\$Z)) \textcircled{A} \\
 & -4(Y) g(E, Z) \textcircled{A} \ddagger 4(Y) 4(E) 4(Z) \textcircled{A} - g(E, \$Z) \$Y \\
 & \ddagger g(E, \$Z) \$Y \ddagger 4(\delta_y Z) \$E \ddagger g(Y, \$Z) \$E \\
 & \ddagger g(Z, \$Y) \$E \ddagger 4(Z) \delta_y (\$E) - 4(Z) g(\$Y, \$E) \textcircled{A} \\
 & -4(Z) 4(Y) E \ddagger 4(Z) 4(Y) 4(E) \textcircled{A} \ddagger 4(\delta_y E) \$Z \\
 & \ddagger g(Y, \$E) \$Z - g(E, \$Y) \$Z \ddagger g(E, \$Y) \$Z \\
 & \ddagger 4(E) \delta_y (\$Z) - 4(E) g(\$Y, \$Z) \textcircled{A} - g(Z, \$Y) \$E \\
 & -4(E) 4(Y) Z \ddagger 4(E) 4(Y) 4(Z) \textcircled{A}
 \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Now in reference of (28), (29) and (30) we get from (2F)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & R^a(E, Y) Z \\
 = & R(E, Y) Z - g(Z, \$E) \$Y - g(Y, \$Z) \$E \\
 & -2g(Y, \$E) \$Z \ddagger g(E, Z) 4(Y) \textcircled{A} - 4(E) g(Y, Z) \textcircled{A} \\
 & \ddagger 4(E) 4(Z) Y - 4(Y) 4(Z) E
 \end{aligned} \tag{3fi}$$

Consequently one can easily bring out the followings:

$$S^a(Y, Z) = S(Y, Z) \mp 2g(Y, Z) - (f_i \mp n) \epsilon(Y) \epsilon(Z), \tag{32}$$

$$S^a(Y, \mathbb{A}) = 0, \tag{33}$$

$$S^a(\mathbb{A}, Z) = 0, \tag{34}$$

$$\mathbb{P}^a Y = \mathbb{P}Y \mp 2Y - (f_i \mp n) \epsilon(Y) \mathbb{A} \tag{35}$$

$$\mathbb{P}^a \mathbb{A} = 0, \tag{36}$$

$$v^a = v \mp n - f_i, \tag{37}$$

$$R^a(E, Y) \mathbb{A} = 0, \tag{38}$$

$$R^a(\mathbb{A}, Y) Z = 0, \tag{39}$$

$$R^a(E, \mathbb{A}) Z = 0. \tag{40}$$

Thus we can state the followings:

**Proposition 2** Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Sasakian manifold admitting Xamhoso4 CONNECTION  $\tilde{\nabla}^a$ , then

- (s) the GUVSATIVE tensor  $R^a$  of  $\tilde{\nabla}^a$  is given by (hf),
- (ss) the  $\tilde{\nabla}^a$  tensor  $S^a$  of  $\tilde{\nabla}^a$  is given by (hw),
- (sss) the SCALAR GUVSATIVE  $v^a$  of  $\tilde{\nabla}^a$  is given by (hu),
- (ss) the  $\tilde{\nabla}^a$  tensor  $S^a$  of  $\tilde{\nabla}^a$  is symmetric.

Now if we suppose that the Sasakian manifold is Ricci flat with respect to the Zamkovoy connection. Then from (32) we get

$$S(Y, Z) = -2g(Y, Z) \mp (f_i \mp n) \epsilon(Y) \epsilon(Z).$$

This leads to the following

**Theorem 3** If the manifold  $M^n$  is  $\tilde{\nabla}^a$  flat with respect to the Xamhoso4 CONNECTION  $\tilde{\nabla}^a$  and on  $M^n$  is an 4-Einstein manifold.

### 4 Conformally flat Sasakian manifold with respect to the connection $\tilde{\nabla}^a$

In view of (35), (36), (32) and (36), the conformal curvature tensor  $C^a$  with respect to the Zamkovoy connection  $\tilde{\nabla}^a$  on a Sasakian manifold  $M$  of dimension ( $n > 2$ ) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} & C^a(E, Y) Z \\ &= C(E, Y) Z - g(Z, \mathbb{E}) \mathbb{E} Y - g(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \mathbb{E} \\ &\quad - 2g(Y, \mathbb{E}) \mathbb{Z} \mp g(E, Z) \epsilon(Y) \mathbb{A} - 4(E) g(Y, Z) \mathbb{A} \mp 4(E) \epsilon(Z) Y - 4(Y) \epsilon(Z) E \\ &\quad \mp \frac{f_i}{n-2} [ -4g(Y, Z) E \mp 4g(E, Z) Y \mp (f_i \mp n) \epsilon(Y) \epsilon(Z) E - (f_i \mp n) \epsilon(E) \epsilon(Z) Y \\ &\quad \mp (f_i \mp n) \epsilon(E) g(Y, Z) \mathbb{A} - (f_i \mp n) \epsilon(Y) g(E, Z) \mathbb{A} ] \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

A conformal curvature tensor  $C$  is said to be flat with respect to Levi-Civita connection if it vanishes identically (that is,  $C = 0$ ). A conformally flat Sasakian manifold with respect to the semi-symmetric metric connection has been studied in [2]. Assume that,  $M$  is conformally flat Sasakian manifold with respect to the connection  $\tilde{\nabla}^a$ . That is,  $C^a = 0$ . Then from (4fi), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &R(E, Y)Z \\
 &= g(Z, \nabla E) \nabla Y \mp g(Y, \nabla Z) \nabla E \\
 &\quad \mp 2g(Y, \nabla E) \nabla Z - g(E, Z) \nabla(Y) \nabla(A) \mp 4(E) g(Y, Z) \nabla(A) \\
 &\quad - 4(E) \nabla(Z) Y \mp 4(Y) \nabla(Z) E \\
 &\quad \mp \frac{fi}{n-2} [S(Y, Z) E \mp 2g(Y, Z) E - (fi \mp n) \nabla(Y) \nabla(Z) E \\
 &\quad - S(E, Z) Y - 2g(E, Z) Y \mp (fi \mp n) \nabla(E) \nabla(Z) Y \\
 &\quad \mp g(Y, Z) \nabla(E) \mp 2g(Y, Z) E \mp (fi \mp n) \nabla(E) g(Y, Z) \nabla(A) \\
 &\quad - g(E, Z) \nabla(Y) - 2g(E, Z) Y \mp (fi \mp n) \nabla(Y) g(E, Z) \nabla(A)] \quad (42)
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking inner product with  $W$  in (42)

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(E, Y, Z, W) &= g(Z, \nabla E) g(\nabla Y, W) \mp g(Y, \nabla Z) g(\nabla E, W) \\
 &\quad \mp 2g(Y, \nabla E) g(\nabla Z, W) - g(E, Z) \nabla(Y) \nabla(W) \mp g(Y, Z) \nabla(E) \nabla(W) \\
 &\quad - 4(E) \nabla(Z) g(Y, W) \mp 4(Y) \nabla(Z) g(E, W) \\
 &\quad \mp \frac{fi}{n-2} [S(Y, Z) g(E, W) \mp 2g(Y, Z) g(E, W) - (fi \mp n) \nabla(Y) \nabla(Z) g(E, W) \\
 &\quad - S(E, Z) g(Y, W) - 2g(E, Z) g(Y, W) \mp (fi \mp n) \nabla(E) \nabla(Z) g(Y, W) \\
 &\quad \mp g(Y, Z) g(\nabla E, W) \mp 2g(Y, Z) g(E, W) \mp (fi \mp n) \nabla(E) g(Y, Z) g(\nabla(A), W) \\
 &\quad - g(E, Z) g(\nabla Y, W) - 2g(E, Z) g(Y, W) \mp (fi \mp n) \nabla(Y) g(E, Z) g(\nabla(A), W)] \quad (43)
 \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $E = W = \nabla(A)$  in (43)

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(\nabla(A), Y, Z, \nabla(A)) &= g(Z, \nabla \nabla(A)) g(\nabla Y, \nabla(A)) \mp g(Y, \nabla Z) g(\nabla \nabla(A), \nabla(A)) \\
 &\quad \mp 2g(Y, \nabla \nabla(A)) g(\nabla Z, \nabla(A)) - g(\nabla(A), Z) \nabla(Y) \nabla(\nabla(A)) \mp g(Y, Z) \nabla(\nabla(A)) \nabla(\nabla(A)) \\
 &\quad - 4(\nabla(A)) \nabla(Z) g(Y, \nabla(A)) \mp 4(Y) \nabla(Z) g(\nabla(A), \nabla(A)) \\
 &\quad \mp \frac{fi}{n-2} [S(Y, Z) g(\nabla(A), \nabla(A)) \mp 2g(Y, Z) g(\nabla(A), \nabla(A)) - (fi \mp n) \nabla(Y) \nabla(Z) g(\nabla(A), \nabla(A)) \\
 &\quad - S(\nabla(A), Z) g(Y, \nabla(A)) - 2g(\nabla(A), Z) g(Y, \nabla(A)) \mp (fi \mp n) \nabla(\nabla(A)) \nabla(Z) g(Y, \nabla(A)) \\
 &\quad \mp g(Y, Z) g(\nabla \nabla(A), \nabla(A)) \mp 2g(Y, Z) g(\nabla(A), \nabla(A)) \mp (fi \mp n) \nabla(\nabla(A)) g(Y, Z) g(\nabla(A), \nabla(A)) \\
 &\quad - g(\nabla(A), Z) g(\nabla Y, \nabla(A)) - 2g(\nabla(A), Z) g(Y, \nabla(A)) \mp (fi \mp n) \nabla(Y) g(\nabla(A), Z) g(\nabla(A), \nabla(A))] \quad (44)
 \end{aligned}$$

the help of (fi4),(fi†), we have

$$S(Y, Z) = -2g(Y, Z) \mp (n \mp fi) \nabla(Z) \nabla(Y). \quad (4†)$$

Thus, we can state the following:

Theorem 4 A Sasakian manifold  $M$  ( $n > 2$ ) admitting a Yamashiro connection  $\tilde{\nabla}^a$  is an  $(n-1)$ -Einstein manifold.

### 5 Locally conformally $\phi$ -symmetric Sasakian manifolds with respect to connection $\tilde{\nabla}^a$

In [9], Takahashi [2] first studied the concept of locally  $\phi$ -symmetry on a Sasakian manifold. In this section we consider a locally conformally  $\phi$ -symmetric Sasakian manifolds with respect to the connection  $\tilde{\nabla}^a$ .

Definition 5 A Sasakian manifold  $M$  is said to be locally conformally  $\phi$ -symmetric with respect to the Yamashiro connection  $\tilde{\nabla}^a$  if the Yamashiro tensor  $C^a$  is related to the connection  $\tilde{\nabla}^a$  as follows

$$\tilde{\nabla}^a_{\nabla} C^a (E, Y) Z = 0, \tag{46}$$

where  $E, Y, Z$  and  $W$  are arbitrary vector fields on  $M$ ,  $E, Y, Z$  and  $W$  are orthonormal to  $\xi$  on the Sasakian manifold  $M$ .

In view of (22) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}^a_{\nabla} C^a) (E, Y) Z &= (\tilde{\nabla}_v C^a) (E, Y) Z + g(W, \nabla C^a (E, Y) Z) \xi + 4(C^a (E, Y) Z) \nabla W \\ &\quad + 4(W) \nabla C^a (E, Y) Z. \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Now, Differentiating (47) in the direction of  $W$ , we obtain

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_v C^a) (E, Y) Z = (\tilde{\nabla}_v C) (E, Y) Z. \tag{48}$$

Using (6), (14), (17) and (47)

$$\begin{aligned} &4(C^a (E, Y) Z) \nabla W \\ &= -\frac{1}{n-2} [S(Y, Z) \nabla(E) - S(E, Z) \nabla(Y) + 4g(Y, Z) \nabla(E) - 4g(E, Z) \nabla(Y) \\ &\quad - (1+n) \nabla(E) g(Y, Z) + (1+n) \nabla(Y) g(E, Z)] \nabla W \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

By the help of (48) and (49), we get obtain from (47)

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}^a_{\nabla} C^a) (E, Y) Z &= (\tilde{\nabla}_v C) (E, Y) Z + g(W, \nabla C^a (E, Y) Z) \xi \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n-2} [S(Y, Z) \nabla(E) - S(E, Z) \nabla(Y) + 4g(Y, Z) \nabla(E) - 4g(E, Z) \nabla(Y) - (1+n) \nabla(E) g(Y, Z) \\ &\quad + (1+n) \nabla(Y) g(E, Z)] \nabla W + 4(W) \nabla C^a (E, Y) Z. \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Applying  $\tilde{\nabla}^2$  on both sides of (50) and using (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\nabla}^2 (\tilde{\nabla}^a_{\nabla} C^a) (E, Y) Z \\ &= \tilde{\nabla}^2 (\tilde{\nabla}_v C) (E, Y) Z \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n-1} [S(Y, Z) \nabla(E) - S(E, Z) \nabla(Y) + 2g(Y, Z) \nabla(E) - 2g(E, Z) \nabla(Y)] \nabla W \\ &\quad + 4(W) \nabla C^a (E, Y) Z. \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$



Now, if  $E, Y, W$  are horizontal vector fields, i.e if orthogonal to  $\mathbb{A}$ , then  $\nabla_{\mathbb{A}}(E) = \nabla_{\mathbb{A}}(Y) = \nabla_{\mathbb{A}}(W) = 0$  and the above equation reduces to

$$\nabla^2(\delta^a \nabla C^a)(E, Y)Z = \nabla^2(\delta^a \nabla C)(E, Y)Z. \tag{t2}$$

Which shows that, the manifold  $M$  is locally conformally  $\nabla$ -symmetric with respect to the connection  $\delta^a$  if and only if it is so with respect to the connection  $\delta$ .

Thus we state the following:

**Theorem 6** A Sasakian manifold  $M$  ( $n > 3$ ), is locally conformally  $\nabla$ -symmetric with respect to the connection  $\delta^a$  if and only if it is so with respect to the connection  $\delta$ .

## 6 Sasakian manifold admitting Eankovoy connection $\delta^a$ satisfying $C(\mathbb{A}, U) \circ R = 0$

In this section consider a Sasakian manifold  $M$  satisfying the condition

$$C^a(\mathbb{A}, U) \circ R^a(W, Z)V = 0. \tag{t3}$$

Then we have

$$C^a(\mathbb{A}, U)R^a(W, Z)V - R^a(C^a(\mathbb{A}, U)W, Z)V - R^a(W, C^a(\mathbb{A}, U)Z)V - R^a(W, Z)C^a(\mathbb{A}, U)V = 0. \tag{t4}$$

Replacing  $W$  by  $\mathbb{A}$  in (t4), we get

$$C^a(\mathbb{A}, U)R^a(\mathbb{A}, Z)V = R^a(C^a(\mathbb{A}, U)\mathbb{A}, Z)V \mp R^a(\mathbb{A}, C^a(\mathbb{A}, U)Z)V \mp R^a(\mathbb{A}, Z)C^a(\mathbb{A}, U)V = 0. \tag{tt}$$

Using (38), (39) and (40) in (tt)

$$R^a(C^a(\mathbb{A}, U)\mathbb{A}, Z)V = 0. \tag{t6}$$

By the help of (3fi) and (4fi) in (t6) we get

$$0 = R^a(\mathbb{A}U, Z)V \mp 2R^a(U, Z)V. \tag{tF}$$

Taking inner product with  $Y$  in the above equation we obtain

$$R^a(\mathbb{A}U, Z, V, Y) \mp 2R^a(U, Z, V, Y) = 0. \tag{t8}$$

Let  $\{e_s\}$  ( $1 \leq s \leq n$ ) be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at any point of the manifold  $M$ . Then putting  $U = Y = e_s$  in the equation (t8) and taking summation over  $s$ ,  $1 \leq s \leq n$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & S^2(Z, V) \\ &= -3S(\nabla V, \nabla Z) - 2S(Z, V) \mp n^2 - n \mp 4 \sum_{i=1}^n g(V, e_i)g(Z, e_i) \\ & \mp (n-1)g(V, Z). \end{aligned} \tag{t9}$$

This leads the following theorem:

**Theorem Y** In an  $n$ -dimensional ( $n > 3$ ) Sasakian manifold  $M$  admitting a homogeneous Riemannian connection  $\nabla$ , if the condition  $\nabla R = 0$  holds on  $M$ , then the equation (19) is satisfied on  $M$ .

**Acknowledgement:** The authors would like to thank the referee for the valuable suggestions to improve the paper.

## References

- [1] A. Friedmann and J. A. Schouten, Über die Geometrie der halb-symmetrischen Übertragung, Math. Z. 21(1924), 211-223.
- [2] Sluka, Karina(2004) on the curvature of Kahler-Norden Manifolds, Journal of Geometry and physics, 4. 131-141
- [3] K. Yano, On semi-symmetric metric connections, Revue Roumaine De Math. Pures Appl., 11 (1966)
- [4] Yano, K. and Kon, M., Structures on manifolds, World Scientific Publishing Co 1984, 4th. Acad. Bucharest, 2008, 249-308.
- [5] Zamkovoy, S., Canonical connections on paracontact manifolds. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 36(1) (2008), 31-60.
- [6] S.M. Webster, Pseudohermitian structures on a real hypersurface, J. Differ. Geom. 13(1978), 21-41
- [7] N. Tanaka, On non degenerate real hypersurface, graded Lie algebra and Cartan connections, Japan, J. Math., New Ser. 2(1976), 131-190
- [8] S. Tanno, Variational problems on contact Riemannian manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 314 (1989), 349-379.
- [9] D. E. Blair, Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds, (Birkhauser, Boston, 2002).
- [10] U.C. De, A.K. Gazi: On almost pseudo symmetric manifolds. Ann. Univ. Sci. Budap. 11 (2008) 13-68.
- [11] Sasaki, S., Lectures Notes on Almost Contact Manifolds, Part I, Tohoku University (1971).
- [12] T. Takahashi, "Sasakian  $\alpha$ -symmetric spaces," Tohoku Mathematical Journal, Second Series, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 91-113, 1977.